

Linden, NJ 07036-0710

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,687	09/08/2003		Salvatore Rea	2002L007A 1241		
7	590	11/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Infineum USA	A L.P.		RONESI, VICKEY M			
Law Departmen	nt					
1900 East Linden Avenue				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P. O. Box 710				1714		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/657,687	REA ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vickey Ronesi	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1:114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		- 6 I reiestien udeiskeu	:-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	). which the netition under 37 CFR 1 136(a	) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejecti	The appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the dots of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hocause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>	)	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-1-3</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance hecouse.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been see attached.			ance because.				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	•					

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): (1) 35 USC 103 rejection over Ogano et al (US 6,207,625) in view of Brehm (US 3,893,168) and Holubec (US 3,876,550), (2) 35 USC 103 rejection over Walker et al (US 6,444,624) in view of Brehm (US 3,898,168) and Holubec (US 3,876,550), and (3) 35 USC 103 rejection over U.S. Patent No. 6,642,188.

Art Unit: 1714

## Attachment to Advisory Action

Applicant's response filed 10/27/2006 has been fully considered but is not persuasive.

Specifically, applicant argues that it is not obvious to use a two-component rust inhibitor system from the disclosure of US '188.

In response to the argument, US '188 claims a lubricating oil composition comprising ashless dispersant, metal detergent, oil soluble molybdenum compound, zinc dialkyl dithiophosphate, and rust inhibitor such as ethoxylated alkyl phenol containing 2-10 moles of ethylene oxide per mole, wherein the composition has a NOACK volatility of less than 15%. While US '188 fails to claim a rust inhibitor systems comprising the ethoxylated alkyl phenol containing 2-10 moles of ethylene oxide per mole and another rust inhibitor such as a glycerol ester of a fatty acid, a half ester of a succinic acid and a glycol, or a succinic acid or anhydride, it clearly teaches in col. 8, lines 57-60 of US '188 that other rust inhibitors include alkenyl succinate half ester, fatty acid soap, ester of fatty acid, and polyhydric alcohol. Case law holds that those portions of the specification which provide support for the patent claims may also be examined and considered when addressing the issue of whether a claim in an application defines an obvious variation of an invention claimed in the patent. *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619,622 (CCPA 1970).

Even though US '188 does not explicitly claim or disclose mixtures of antirust agents, it is considered that it would have been well within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize mixtures, absent a showing of unexpected or surprising results regarding a synergistic effect when using the two. It is well settled that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two ingredients, each of which is targeted by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. *In* 

Application/Control Number: 10/657,687

Art Unit: 1714

re Lindner 457 F,2d 506,509, 173 USPQ 356, 359 (CCPA 1972). Furthermore, Holubec discloses lubricant compositions and teaches common anti-rust additives which can be used in mixtures (col. 3, line 63 to col. 6, line 49). Therefore, given that US '188 claims the use of rust inhibitors, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a plurality of known anti-rust agents taught by Holubec in the lubricant composition of US '188.

11/15/2006 Vickey Ronesi

W

VASU JAGANNATHAN
VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 3